



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,970	03/30/2006	Tatsuo Hiramatsu	070456-0105	1749
20277 7590 11/26/2008 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096				
EXAMINER				
GIRoux, GEORGE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2183				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/26/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/573,970

Applicant(s)

HIRAMATSU ET AL.

Examiner

GEORGE D. GIROUX

Art Unit

2183

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23, 25-31, 34 and 37-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23, 25-31, 34 and 37-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's communication filed 13 August 2008, in response to the Office Action mailed 14 May 2008. The applicant's remarks and amendments to the claims and specification were considered, with the results that follow.
2. The objection to claim 34 has been withdrawn due to the amendment filed 13 August 2008
3. Claims 1-22, 24, 32, 33, 35 and 36 have been cancelled, while claims 23, 25-31, 34 and 37-43 stand pending in the application.

Specification

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 34 and 47-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abramovici (US 6,034,538).

As per claim 34, Abramovici teaches a reconfigurable circuit allowing change in function and connection relation as **[a set of reconfigurable hardware including a number of field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) (abstract)]**, a setting portion storing setting data representing a divided unit forming a part of a circuit and supplying the setting data to the reconfigurable circuit as **[the local memory includes dedicated areas for storing configuration information (column 4, lines 13-15) which is supplied, via the page manager, to the FPGAs (column 5, lines 3-5)]**, a control portion controlling the setting portion such that a plurality of setting data are successively supplied to the reconfigurable circuit to configure the intended circuit as **[the page manager (PAGMAN 22) controls the loading and unloading of pages for each of the FPGAs and stores information regarding which page is loaded at any given time, as well as a mapping of pages and their locations in the memory and is operative to route signals between loaded pages (column 5, lines 3-10)]**, wherein the reconfigurable circuit has at least one state holding circuit holding an internal state as **[the page manager (PAGMAN 22) controls the loading and unloading of pages for each of the FPGAs and stores information regarding which page is loaded at any given time, as well as a mapping of pages and their locations in the memory and is operative to route signals between loaded pages (column 5, lines 3-10)]**, said reconfigurable circuit is divided by an arrangement of said state holding circuit into a plurality of stages of reconfigurable units as **[the**

reconfigurable hardware 20 is composed of a number of FPGAs (column 4, lines 3-9 and figure 2) where FPGAs are inherently capable of execution a plurality of functions], and the control portion controls, at one time point, said setting portion such that setting data of a divided circuit unit configuring an intended circuit is supplied to a reconfigurable unit at a predetermined stage as [a given subcircuit is implemented in a part of the reconfigurable hardware by loading its corresponding page into the hardware, referred to as a loaded page, where the page manager is used to control the loading of the page to and from the reconfigurable hardware (column 3, lines 56-67)], at a next time point, said setting portion such that setting data of a next divided unit configuring said intended circuit is supplied to said reconfigurable unit at a stage next to said predetermined stage as [a page replacement algorithm may be used to select a replacement page for a currently loaded page, where the PAGMAN saves all of the register values of the page to be replaced and disconnects all of the I/O pins associated with that page, then the configuration of the new, replacing page is sent to the reconfigurable hardware, by the PAGMAN, from the local memory (column 5, line 59 through column 6, line 9)], said setting portion such that setting data of a divided unit configuring a circuit different from said intended circuit is supplied to said reconfigurable unit at said predetermined stage as [a given subcircuit is implemented in a part of the reconfigurable hardware by loading its corresponding page into the hardware, referred to as a loaded page, where the page manager is used to control the loading of the page to and from the reconfigurable hardware (column 3, lines 56-67)] and said reconfigurable circuit

including a path portion to input an output of the reconfigurable unit of the last stage to the reconfigurable unit of the first stage as **[a page configuration for a circuit which includes a feed-forward structure, where the outputs of one page loaded into the FPGAs feed the input of the next page loaded into the FPGAs (column 6, lines 31-44 and figure 5A) and the page manager (PAGMAN 22) is operative to route signals between loaded pages (column 5, lines 8-10)]**.

As per claim 37, Abramovici teaches wherein the reconfigurable unit is configured as a combinational circuit as **[the reconfigurable hardware can be made of a number of any commercially available FPGAs or via a single FPGA (column 4, lines 39-52) which are inherently formed of logic blocks operating via combinational functions, forming a combinational circuit]**.

As per claim 38, Abramovici teaches an output circuit receiving an output of the reconfigurable circuit as **[the FPGAs communicate with the local memory 24 over bus 23, controlled by the page manager 22, which takes output and register values from the FPGAs and provides the FPGAs with inputs and configuration information (column 4, lines 8-19 and figure 2)]** and providing the output of the reconfigurable circuit when the reconfigurable circuit is configured a plurality of times by the setting portion as **[the FPGAs communicate with the local memory 24 over bus 23, controlled by the page manager 22, which takes output and register values**

from the FPGAs and provides the FPGAs with inputs and configuration information (column 4, lines 8-19 and figure 2)].

As per claim 39, Abramovici teaches an internal state holding circuit receiving an output of the reconfigurable circuit and a first path portion inputting the output signal held by said internal state holding circuit to the first stage of reconfigurable units as **[the page manager (PAGMAN 22) includes one or more first-in-first-out (FIFO) buffers for use in controlling storage and transferring inter-page signal values (i.e. storing the output of one page, to be provided as the input to another) (column 5, lines 10-21 and figure 3)].**

As per claim 40, Abramovici teaches a memory portion storing in a prescribed area an output of said reconfigurable circuit in accordance with setting data as **[the FPGAs communicate with the local memory 24 over bus 23, controlled by the page manager 22, which takes output and register values from the FPGAs and provides the FPGAs with inputs and configuration information (column 4, lines 8-19 and figure 2)]** and a second path portion transmitting the output of the circuit configured on the reconfigurable circuit, which is stored in the memory portion, as an input to a circuit configured in accordance with the next setting data as **[the FPGAs communicate with the local memory 24 over bus 23, controlled by the page manager 22, which takes output and register values from the FPGAs and provides**

the FPGAs with inputs and configuration information (column 4, lines 8-19 and figure 2)].

As per claim 41, Abramovici teaches a switching circuit switching between the input from said second path portion and an external input, to be an input to said reconfigurable circuit as **[the FPGAs communicate with the local memory 24 over bus 23, controlled by the page manager 22, which takes output and register values from the FPGAs and provides the FPGAs with inputs and configuration information (column 4, lines 8-19 and figure 2) where the page manager directs the connecting and disconnecting of the I/O pins of the FPGA being configured (column 5, lines 63-65) including the connections to various other types of external non-FPGA hardware (column 4, lines 51-56) which inherently includes inputs available from the connected hardware].**

As per claim 42, Abramovici teaches wherein the reconfigurable unit includes a plurality of logic circuits each capable of selectively executing a plurality of operation functions as **[the reconfigurable hardware 20 is composed of a number of FPGAs (column 4, lines 3-9 and figure 2) where FPGAs are inherently capable of execution a plurality of functions]**, a connection portion allowing setting of connection relations among the logic circuits as **[the page manager (PAGMAN 22) controls the loading and unloading of pages for each of the FPGAs and stores information regarding which page is loaded at any given time, as well as a mapping of pages**

and their locations in the memory and is operative to route signals between loaded pages (column 5, lines 3-10) as well as controlling storage and transfer of information between the FPGAs (abstract)] and said setting portion sets the functions and said connection relation of said logic circuits as **[the page manager controls loading and unloading of pages form the local memory into the FPGAs and controls storage and transfer between the FPGAs (abstract)]**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 23 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abramovici (US 6,034,538) in view of well known practices in the art.

As per claim 23, Abramovici teaches a reconfigurable circuit allowing change in function as **[a set of reconfigurable hardware including a number of field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs) (abstract)]**, an internal state holding circuit receiving an output of the reconfigurable circuit as **[the page manager (PAGMAN 22) includes one or more first-in-first-out (FIFO) buffers for use in controlling storage and transferring inter-page signal values (i.e. storing the output of one page, to be provided as the input to another) (column 5, lines 10-21 and figure 3)]**, a first path transmitting an output of the reconfigurable circuit received by said internal state

holding circuit to an input of the reconfigurable circuit as **[a page configuration for a circuit which includes a feed-forward structure, where the outputs of one page loaded into the FPGAs feed the input of the next page loaded into the FPGAs (column 6, lines 31-44 and figure 5A) and the page manager (PAGMAN 22) is operative to route signals between loaded pages (column 5, lines 8-10) including a path directly between the page manager and the FPGA (figure 2)]**, a setting portion supplying setting data for configuring the reconfigurable circuit as **[the local memory includes dedicated areas for storing configuration information (column 4, lines 13-15) which is supplied, via the page manager, to the FPGAs (column 5, lines 3-5)]**, a control portion controlling the setting portion such that a plurality of setting data sets are successively supplied to the reconfigurable circuit, so that an output of a circuit configured on the reconfigurable circuit according to one setting data set is supplied to an input of another circuit configured on the reconfigurable circuit with the next setting data through the first path as **[the page manager (PAGMAN 22) controls the loading and unloading of pages for each of the FPGAs and stores information regarding which page is loaded at any given time, as well as a mapping of pages and their locations in the memory and is operative to route signals between loaded pages (column 5, lines 3-10) wherein the page manager holds active and inactive configurations (pages) for the configured circuits, supplying the configurations successively as the appropriate configuration is needed (column 3, lines 56-67; figure 4 and the abstract)]**, a memory portion storing, in a prescribed area, an output of an intended circuit configured on the reconfigurable circuit in a

prescribed area in accordance with one setting data as **[the FPGAs and the page manager communicate via bus 23 with the local memory 24 which holds output and register values from the FPGAs, as well as the configuration information (column 4, lines 8-19 and figure 2)]** and a second path transmitting the output of the circuit, configured on the reconfigurable circuit, stored in the prescribed area of the memory, as an input to a circuit configured on the reconfigurable circuit configured in accordance with setting data after the next setting data subsequent to said on setting data as **[a path which stores output and register values of one circuit (page) in the memory, then provides this information as the input to the next loaded circuit (page) (column 2, lines 28-51; and figures 2 and 4)]**.

Abramovici does not explicitly teach wherein the memory portion operates at a lower speed than said internal state holding portion, but does teach **[that the type of memory used for local memory 24 may limit the speed of the system and slow down processing (column 4, lines 57-67)]**.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, that the memory portion operates at a lower speed than the internal state holding portion, as it is well known in the art that the larger the memory and the further from the processor, the longer the access time, and likely the slower the memory.

As per claim 25, Abramovici teaches wherein the setting portion successively supplies a plurality of setting data to the reconfigurable circuit so that one circuit is

formed as a whole as **[the set of FPGAs which form the reconfigurable hardware implements a single logic circuit, having any desired size, by partitioning the circuit's netlist into "subcircuit portions", each of which is represented by a portion of the initial netlist generally referred to as a page (column 3, lines 32-45) supplying the configurations successively as the appropriate configuration is needed (column 3, lines 56-67; figure 4 and the abstract)].**

As per claim 26, Abramovici teaches wherein the plurality of setting data represent a plurality of divided circuits obtained by dividing one circuit as **[the set of FPGAs which form the reconfigurable hardware implements a single logic circuit, having any desired size, by partitioning the circuit's netlist into "subcircuit portions", each of which is represented by a portion of the initial netlist generally referred to as a page (column 3, lines 32-45)].**

As per claim 27, Abramovici teaches wherein the reconfigurable circuit is configured as a combinational circuit as **[the reconfigurable hardware can be made of a number of any commercially available FPGAs or via a single FPGA (column 4, lines 39-52) which are inherently formed of logic blocks operating via combinational functions, forming a combinational circuit].**

As per claim 28, Abramovici teaches an output circuit receiving an output of the reconfigurable circuit as **[the FPGAs communicate with the local memory 24 over**

bus 23, controlled by the page manager 22, which takes output and register values from the FPGAs and provides the FPGAs with inputs and configuration information (column 4, lines 8-19 and figure 2)] and providing the output of the reconfigurable circuit when the reconfigurable circuit is configured a plurality of times by the setting portion as **[the FPGAs communicate with the local memory 24 over bus 23, controlled by the page manager 22, which takes output and register values from the FPGAs and provides the FPGAs with inputs and configuration information (column 4, lines 8-19 and figure 2)].**

As per claim 29, Abramovici teaches a switching circuit switching between the input from the second path and an external input, to be an input to the reconfigurable circuit as **[the FPGAs communicate with the local memory 24 over bus 23, controlled by the page manager 22, which takes output and register values from the FPGAs and provides the FPGAs with inputs and configuration information (column 4, lines 8-19 and figure 2) where the page manager directs the connecting and disconnecting of the I/O pins of the FPGA being configured (column 5, lines 63-65) including the connections to various other types of external non-FPGA hardware (column 4, lines 51-56) which inherently includes inputs available from the connected hardware].**

As per claim 30, Abramovici teaches wherein the reconfigurable circuit includes a plurality of logic circuits, each capable of selectively executing a plurality of operation

functions as **[the reconfigurable hardware 20 is composed of a number of FPGAs (column 4, lines 3-9 and figure 2) where FPGAs are inherently capable of execution a plurality of functions]**, a connecting portion allowing setting of connections among the logic circuits as **[the page manager controls loading and unloading of pages form the local memory into the FPGAs and controls storage and transfer between the FPGAs (abstract)]** and the setting portion sets the functions of said connections among the logic circuits as **[the local memory includes dedicated areas for storing configuration information (column 4, lines 13-15) which is supplied, via the page manager, to the FPGAs (column 5, lines 3-5)]**.

9. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abramovici (US 6,034,538) in view of well known practices in the art, and further in view of Mansingh (US 6745318).

As per claim 31, Abramovici teaches the processing device according to claim 30, as described above.

Abramovici does not explicitly teach wherein the logic circuit is an arithmetic logic circuit capable of selectively executing a plurality of multi-bit operations, however.

Mansingh teaches wherein the logic circuit is an arithmetic logic circuit capable of selectively executing a plurality of multi-bit operations as **[a dynamic arithmetic unit 18, including at least one configurable arithmetic unit 20 capable of performing operations from decoded instructions 24, provided by the decoder 16 (column 2, lines 38-47 and figure 1)]**.

Abramovici and Mansingh are analogous art, as they are within the same field of endeavor, namely reconfigurable processing.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the configurable arithmetic logic unit of Mansingh in the reconfigurable circuit of Abramovici.

The motivation for doing so, as provided by Mansingh, would have been **[to decrease the size of the combined arithmetic logic units' integrated circuit footprint by providing a single reconfigurable arithmetic logic unit, thus reducing costs (column 1, lines 48-54)]**.

10. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abramovici (US 6,034,538) in view Mansingh (US 6745318).

As per claim 43, Abramovici teaches the processing device according to claim 42, as described above.

Abramovici does not explicitly teach wherein the logic circuit is an arithmetic logic circuit capable of selectively executing a plurality of multi-bit operations, however.

Mansingh teaches wherein the logic circuit is an arithmetic logic circuit capable of selectively executing a plurality of multi-bit operations as **[a dynamic arithmetic unit 18, including at least one configurable arithmetic unit 20 capable of performing operations from decoded instructions 24, provided by the decoder 16 (column 2, lines 38-47 and figure 1)]**.

Abramovici and Mansingh are analogous art, as they are within the same field of endeavor, namely reconfigurable processing.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the configurable arithmetic logic unit of Mansingh in the reconfigurable circuit of Abramovici.

The motivation for doing so, as provided by Mansingh, would have been **[to decrease the size of the combined arithmetic logic units' integrated circuit footprint by providing a single reconfigurable arithmetic logic unit, thus reducing costs (column 1, lines 48-54)]**.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 13 August 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

12. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that data is supplied to a certain row at one time point and the next data is supplied to the next row at the next time point) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993)

However, Abramovici does teach that a given subcircuit is implemented in a specific part of the reconfigurable hardware by loading its corresponding page into the hardware, referred to as a loaded page, where the page manager is used to control the loading of the page to and from the reconfigurable hardware (column 3, lines 56-67) and that a page replacement algorithm may be used to select a replacement page for a currently loaded page, where the PAGMAN saves all of the register values of the page to be replaced and disconnects all of the I/O pins associated with that page, then the configuration of the new, replacing page is sent to the reconfigurable hardware, by the PAGMAN, from the local memory (column 5, line 59 through column 6, line 9), where both the specified part of the hardware being configured and the time at which it is being configured and/or replaced are within the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claimed "stages".

13. Applicant also argues that Abramovici does not teach that a second path portion transmitting an output of the circuit configured on said reconfigurable circuit stored in said prescribed area of said memory portion as an input to a circuit configured in accordance with the setting data after the next setting data subsequent to said one setting data.

However, Abramovici teaches a path and/or buffers in the manager which store inter-page signal values, such as output and register values of one circuit (page), in the memory then provides this information as the input to the next loaded circuit (page) (column 2, lines 28-51; and figures 2 and 4).

Conclusion

14. The following is a summary of the treatment and status of all claims in the application as recommended by **M.P.E.P. 707.07(i)**: claims 1-22, 24, 32, 33, 35 and 36 have been cancelled; claims 23, 25-31, 34 and 37-43 are rejected.

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Vorbach (US 2006/0248317) - teaches a reconfigurable circuit which can be divided dynamically to perform given operations.

17. The examiner requests, in response to this Office action, support be shown for language added to any original claims on amendment and any new claims. That is, indicate support for newly added claim language by specifically pointing to page(s) and line number(s) in the specification and/or drawing figure(s). This will assist the examiner in prosecuting the application.

18. When responding to this office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present, in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37 CFR 1.111(c).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GEORGE D. GIROUX whose telephone number is (571)272-9769. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:30am - 6:00pm E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie P. Chan can be reached on 571-272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eddie P Chan/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2183

/George D Giroux/
Examiner, Art Unit 2183